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Abstract

This study aims at developing problems which valid and practical also to know the potential effect of those problems in regards of students mathematical reasoning ability. The subject of this research are students in AR-RAIHAN Junior High School Bandar Lampung. This study is a development research which consists of two stages, preliminary and prototyping stages. In the preliminary stage, analysis for the research subject, curriculum, and theory of the levels in PISA were conducted. In prototype stage formative evaluation of the problems were conducted by self evaluation, one-to-one, experts review, small group, and field test. The techniques of the data collection in this study are walk through (expert review stage) for knowing the validity of the contents, constructs, and languages. The interview (field test) on questionnaire (small group and field test) for knowing practicality of the problems, and questionnaire (field test) and interview (field test) for knowing potential effect mathematical reasoning ability of students. Based on the result of the questionnaire which measure the reasoning and argumentation abilities of the students, recognized by most of the student (90,91%) is used in resolving the problem furthermore on data analysis of this study the PISA-like problems level 4 to 6 has a potential effect on supporting/improving students reasoning ability
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INTRODUCTION

PISA (Program for International Student Assessment) is an international assessment organized by OECD on measuring skills and abilities of students in the age of 15 (OECD,2013). In this assessment reasoning ability one of the mathematical abilities used to solve the problems.

The reasoning ability is very crucial because it plays an important role in solving non-routine problems and supports students to develop abilities to face real life problems (Augustine, 2013; Annisah, 2011). However, Indonesian students seems to have low mathematical reasoning. This can be seen from the rank of Indonesian students in PISA. In a decade, the position of Indonesia is always in the bottom 10 (OECD, 2001; 2003; 2005; 2008; 2013).

The aim of mathematical lessons in Indonesia, that the curriculum has been drafted having regard to aspects of developing mathematical reasoning. In the body of the National Standards of education (BSNP 2006) that is included in the standard written content destination mathematical subjects SMP/MTs so that learners have the ability to use reasoning on pattern and nature, doing mathematical manipulations in making generalizations, compile evidence, or explain the idea and mathematical statements.
In assessment of PISA there are 6 level abilities. This is research not develop problems 1, 2, 3 level because Indonesian student on assessment PISA in 2012 (98.5%) able to achieve third level (National Center for Education Statistics, 2013). In the National Council of Teacher of Mathematics or NCTM (2000) which stated that the school’s math standards include standard contents (mathematical content) and standard processes (mathematical processes). The standard process includes troubleshooting (problem solving), reasoning and proof (reasoning and proof), connectedness (connections), communication (communication) and representation (representation).

Research question are:
1. How the characteristics of math problems type PISA level 4 to 6 are valid and practical?
2. How the potential effect of math problems type PISA levels 4 to 6, mathematical reasoning ability against use of students?

To reach the goals of the study are:
1. Develop math problems type PISA level 4 to 6 which valid and practical
2. To know the potential effect of those problems in regards of students mathematical reasoning ability

**THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

Based on PISA framework year 2015 there are third dimension which includes dimensions of content, process, and context. And there are 6 levels in PISA (OECD, 2013)

1. **Content**

   In PISA framework year 2015 there are some mathematical content categories used in the assessment which include: change and relationship, space and shape, quantity, uncertainty and data (OECD;2013).

   a. **Change and Relationship**
      The natural and designed worlds display a multitude of temporary and permanent relationships among objects and circumstances, where changes occur within systems of interrelated objects or in circumstances where the elements influence one another.

   b. **Space and Shape**
      Space and shape encompasses a wide range of phenomena that are encountered everywhere in our visual and physical world: patterns, properties of objects, positions and orientations, representations of objects, decoding and encoding of visual information, navigation and dynamic interaction with real shapes as well as with representations.

   c. **Quantity**
      The notion of quantity may be the most pervasive and essential mathematical aspect of engaging with, and functioning in, our world. It incorporates the quantification of attributes of objects, relationships, situations and entities in the
world, understanding various representations of those quantifications, and judging interpretations and arguments based on quantity.

d. Uncertainty and Data
In science, technology and everyday life, uncertainty is a given. Uncertainty is therefore a phenomenon at the heart of the mathematical analysis of many problem situations, and the theory of probability and statistics as well as techniques of data representation and description have been established to deal with it.

2. Context
In PISA framework year 2015 there are four Contexts which include: Personal, Occupational, Societal, Scientific, (OECD;2013).

a. Personal
Problems classified in the personal context category focus on activities of one's self, one's family or one's peer group. The kinds of contexts that may be considered personal include (but are not limited to) those involving food preparation, shopping, games, personal health, personal transportation, sports, travel, personal scheduling and personal finance.

b. Occupational
Problems classified in the occupational context category are centered on the world of work. Items categorized as occupational may involve (but are not limited to) such things as measuring, costing and ordering materials for building, payroll/accounting, quality control, scheduling/inventory, design/architecture and job-related decision making.

c. Societal
Problems classified in the societal context category focus on one's community (whether local, national or global). They may involve (but are not limited to) such things as voting systems, public transport, government, public policies, demographics, advertising, national statistics and Economic.

d. Scientific
Problems classified in the scientific category relate to the application of mathematics to the natural World and issues and topics related to science and technology.

3. Process
Based on PISA framework year 2015, student’ process in solving PISA problems relate to three mathematical abilities. Those abilities include formulate, employ, interpret abilities, (OECD;2013).

a. Formulate
The word “formulate” in the mathematical literacy definition refers to individuals being able to recognize and identify opportunities to use mathematics and then
provide mathematical structure to a problem presented in some contextualized form. In the process of formulating situations mathematically, individuals determine where they can extract the essential mathematics to analyze, set up, and solve the problem.

b. Employ
The word “employ” in the mathematical literacy definition refers to individuals being able to apply mathematical concepts, facts, procedures, and reasoning to solve mathematically-formulated problems to obtain mathematical conclusions.

c. Interpret
The word “interpret” used in the mathematical literacy definition focuses on the abilities of individuals to reflect upon mathematical solutions, results, or conclusions and interpret them in the context of real-life problems. This involves translating mathematical solutions or reasoning back into the context of a problem and determining whether the results are reasonable and make sense in the context of the problem.

4. PISA of Level
Table 1 is proficiency scale descriptions for mathematics in PISA framework 2015 (OECD, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mathematical Competence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Students can conceptualise, generalise and utilise information based on their investigations and modeling of complex problem situations. They can link different information sources and representations and flexibly translate among them. Students at this level are capable of advanced mathematical thinking and reasoning. These students can apply their insight and understandings along with a mastery of symbolic and formal mathematical operations and relationships to develop new approaches and strategies for attacking novel situations. Students at this level can formulate and precisely communicate their actions and reflections regarding their findings, interpretations, arguments and the appropriateness of these to the original situations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Students are able to develop and work with models for complex situations, identifying constraints and specifying assumptions. They can select, compare and evaluate appropriate problem-solving strategies for dealing with complex problems related to these models. Students at this level can work strategically using broad, well-developed thinking and reasoning skills, appropriate linked representations, symbolic and formal characterizations and insight pertaining to these situations. They can reflect on their actions and formulate and communicate their interpretations and reasoning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
van den Akker (1999) During development processes, the emphasis in criteria for quality usually shifts from validity, to practicality, to effectiveness. Validity refers to the extent that the design of the intervention is based on state-of-the-art knowledge (‘content validity’) and that the various components of the intervention are consistently linked to each other (‘construct validity’). Practicality refers to the extent that users (and other experts) consider the intervention as appealing and usable in 'normal' conditions. Effectiveness refers to the extent that the experiences and outcomes with the intervention are consistent with the intended aims.

This is research, third aspect above describe as follows:

a. Valid aspects associated with two things, namely:
   1. devices in this problems would be developed should be based on a rational teoritik. Runway teoritik is meant here is the criteria of PISA framework year 2015
   2. problems that are developed should be consistent internally or devices reserved designed logically. This is research validity can adequately be evaluated through expert appraisal.

b. Practical aspects associated with two things, namely:
   1. The appraisal of experts or practitioners that the problem developed can be applied.
   2. in the operational field, problems that was developed can be applied. Practicality means the problem can be solved by students and may be applied in accordance with the planning. in this study of this practicality based on the comments / suggestions the subject of the one-to-one and small group

c. Effective aspects associated with two things, namely:
   1. According to experts and practitioners, the problem developed qualify as effective, in this case the questions had the potential effect on students' mathematical reasoning abilities.
   2. in the field operational problems developed delivers results in accordance with what is expected. Potential effects based on the results of tests, questionnaires, and interviews when the field test
METHOD
This study is a design research type of development study (Plomp, T., & Nieveen, N, 2007). This study is a part of my thesis. Level 4 to 6 of PISA-like problems were developed in the whole research. However, this study only point out at the 5th level of PISA-like problems. This research will be conducted in two stages: preliminary and prototyping (formative evaluation). Stages preliminary: design process. Prototyping: that includes one-to-one and expert reviews, small group, and field test. The figure.1 constitute groove design formative evaluation

![Figure 1. Design formative evaluation (Tessmer, 1993; Zulkardi,2006)](image)

Data Collection
The techniques of the data collection in this study are walk through (expert review stage) for knowing the validity of the contents, constructs, and languages. The interview (field test) and questionnaire (small group and field test) for knowing practicality of the problems, and questionnaire (field test) and interview (field test) for knowing potential effect mathematical reasoning ability of students.

Data Analysis
Walk through given to experts analyzed by descriptive taking into account the comments/suggestions, the results of the analysis were used to revise the problem so as to obtain a valid problems.

Test results analyzed based on the rubric scoring that have been made the results of students' answers can be known whether students in answering question involves the ability reasoning is based on the capabilities of the basic mathematics (KDM) reasoning as indicators.

Interview results at field test stage were analyzed by descriptive as a support in know the potential effect based students' comment directly, whether students in answering the question involves reasoning ability.

Results questionnaire on small group and field test analyzed by descriptive. to knowing the function of the components of problems. Results questionnaire on small group stage
for knowing practicality of the problems, and result questionnaire on field test stage for knowing potential effect mathematical reasoning ability of students

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This study resulted in 9 items is valid, practical and have a potential effect on mathematical reasoning students ability. In this paper, I would point out in merely one problem.

![Monument of Lampung in Zainal Abidin Kedaton Bandar Lampung](image)

**Figure 2.** Monument of Lampung in Zainal Abidin Kedaton Bandar Lampung

Based on the picture above, estimate how high the Monument is? Describe your argument!

**Profile of Question**

Context : Societal  
Content : Space and Shape  
Prediction of Level: 5  
Process : Employ

On this question of 22 students only 1 student answered correctly, and 14 students were able to answer with a variety of arguments but not right estimate, and 7 students not able to estimate.
In figure 3 Muhammad Bilal Abidzar using reasoning abilities with high hooking around a statue of objects that have the same unit.

In figure 4 Tasyania Miranda using reasoning abilities with hooking minibus to determine the height of the statue. But it is not right to use mathematical ability in determining the final results.

The difficulty in answering the question of students in addition to high level problem (level 5), that students feel unfamiliar resolves the question of PISA. The following comments on the problems form students show that: 1) It’s hard, because first discovered the problem like this, 2) Because it is very different, unique, interesting 3) very hard, because it never get this kind of problem.

Although this problem belongs to difficult, but based result questionnaire (90,91%) the subject in question answering, with reasoning associate information-information on reserved (reasoning ability).
The question that researchers develop has an effect against the ability of reasoning. It can be seen also on the basis of the results of the interview. General comments the student that is: so more can imagine, usually working on mathematical formulas use but this problem can imagine yourself how or in other ways besides using formulas, could reasoning.

CONCLUSION
Prototype developed to produce mathematical problems like PISA fulfill valid and practical, and potential effect mathematical problems like PISA Level 4 to 6 for mathematical reasoning ability of students. In this research is validity based on the comments of experts of the contents, constructs, and languages, and practical based on the results of the analysis of the students in the process of one-to-one and small group, the potential effects obtained by the comments of students in the field test. Based on the analysis of student answers that all students use reasoning skills in answering questions, and the results of questionnaires that as many as 90.91% of students felt using reasoning abilities in answering the question, supported by the results of interviews that students feel using reasoning abilities
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